

Panaji, 5th November, 2009 (Kartika 14, 1931)

SERIES II No. 32

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA



PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Note:- There is one Extraordinary issue to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 31 dated 2-11-2009 from pages 829 to 830 regarding Order from Department of Elections (Goa State Election Commission).

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Order

No. 50/3(68)/Elec/BOD/RGB/VPK/CZ/
/RCS/2009/1961

Ref.: Letter No. VPK/HO/July '09/9389 dated 19-07-2009 from VPK Urban Co-op. Credit Society Ltd., Mardol-Goa, requesting therein to grant exemption from Sections 66 & 69 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 126 (A) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, Government is pleased to exempt VPK Urban Co-op. Credit Society Ltd., Mardol-Goa from the provisions of Section 69 of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001, only for the present term.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 21st October, 2009.

Order

No. 23-1-93/TS/RCS(2)/1986

Read: Letter No. F.Adm/HO/Sang/2009 Camp dated 30-9-2009 from the Committee

of Administrator of Shree Shantadurga Urban Co-op. Credit Society Ltd., Karapur-Tisk, Sanquelim, requesting their for partial exemption of Section 71 (3) of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 126A of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001, Government is pleased to exempt the provision of Section 71(3) of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001 and permit the Committee of Administrator of Shree Shantadurga Urban Co-op. Credit Society Ltd., Karapur-Tisk to admit members from its area of operation.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 22nd October, 2009.

Order

No. 1-3-71/EST/RCS(Part)/2012

On the recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee conveyed by the GPSC, Panaji, vide letter No. COM/II/11/11(1)/90-04/Vol.I/290 dated 24-9-2009, the Government is pleased to promote the following officers to the post of Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Group "B" (Gazetted) in the establishment of Registrar of Co-op. Societies in the pay scale of PB—2 Rs. 9,300-34,800+Grade Pay Rs. 4,200/- on regular basis with immediate effect. They shall continue to hold the same post which they were holding on ad hoc basis, vide Order No. 1-3-71/EST/RCS/Part/68 dated 8-4-2009.

- 1) Shri Upasso Gaonkar (S.T.).
- 2) Shri E. R. Kauthankar.

The above officers may exercise their option for fixation of pay under FR 22(1)(a)(1) within one month from the date of issue of this order.

They shall be on probation for a period of 2 years.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 23rd October, 2009.



Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Technical Education
College Section



Corrigendum

No. 16/211/PF/AVN/GEC/06/2157

Read: Order No. 16/211/PF/AVN/GEC/06/1774 dated 23-09-2009.

The first para of the above-referred order may be read as

“Approval of the Government is hereby conveyed for counting of past services of Shri Akshay V. Nigalye, Assistant Professor in Mechanical Engineering, Goa College of Engineering, Farmagudi rendered by him at Institute of Shipbuilding Technology, Bogda, Vasco-da-Gama from 19-07-1991 to 29-11-2006 for the purpose of pensionary benefits only.”

Instead of “The Government is hereby conveyed for counting of past services of Shri Akshay V. Nigalye, Assistant Professor in Mechanical Engineering, Goa College of Engineering, Farmagudi rendered by him at Institute of Shipbuilding Technology, Bogda, Vasco-da-Gama from 19-07-1991 to 29-11-2006 for the purpose of pensionary benefits only.”

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vivek B. Kamat, Director of Technical Education & ex officio Additional Secretary.

Porvorim, 29th October, 2009.

Department of Finance

Directorate of Accounts



Order

No. DA/Admn/10-5-D(242)/91/09-10/TR-2944/83

Government is pleased to accept the notice of Voluntary Retirement dated 05-08-2009 tendered by Shri Nishakant T. Kanolkar, Assistant Accounts Officer under Rule 48-A of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 w.e.f. 05-11-2009 (forenoon) on completion of the three months notice period.

Shri Nishakant T. Kanolkar, Assistant Accounts Officer stands retired from service w.e.f. 05-11-2009 forenoon.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Pramod Y. Ramani, Director of Accounts.

Panaji, 20th October, 2009.



Department of Labour



Order

No. 28/22/2009-LAB

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Optic Fibre Goa, Verna Industrial Estate, Verna, Salcete, Goa and it's workman, Shri Ganga Singh, Operator, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the “said dispute”);

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the “said Act”), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

“(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Optic Fibre Goa, Verna Industrial Estate, Verna, Salcete, Goa in terminating the services of Shri Ganga Singh, Operator with effect from 17-06-2007, is legal and justified?”

(2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 21st October, 2009.

Order

No. 28/24/2009-LAB

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Advani Pleasure Cruise Co. Private Limited, Panaji, and its workman, Shri Jalal Mohammed Shaikh, Security Supervisor, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Advani Pleasure Cruise Co. Private Limited, Panaji, in terminating the services of Shri Jalal Mohammed Shaikh, Security Supervisor, with effect from 01-04-2009, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 21st October, 2009.

Notification

No. 28/1/2009-LAB/1086

The following Award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, at Panaji-Goa, on 14-09-2009 in reference No. IT/21/04 is hereby

published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 13th October, 2009.

IN THE LABOUR COURT-II GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Suresh N. Narulkar Hon'ble,
Presiding Officer)

Case No. Ref. IT/21/04

Shri Vijay Singh,
C/o Vikhari Singh,
New Building No. P-368/M,
DCQ Varunapuri-III,
Mangore Hill,
Vasco-da-Gama, Goa.

... Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s. Mormugao Marine
Logistics Pvt. Ltd.,
Aha Building,
Mormugao Harbour,
P. O. Box No. 8, Goa.

... Employer/Party II

Party I/Workman is represented by Adv., Suhas Naik.

Party II/Employer is represented by Adv., M. S. Bandonkar.

Panaji, dated: 14-09-2009.

AWARD

1. In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of India by Order dated 29-06-2004 bearing No. L-36012/1/2004-IR(B-II) referred the following dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, Panaji-Goa.

"Whether the action of the Management of M/s. Mormugao Marine Logistics Pvt. Ltd., Goa in refusing employment to Shri Vijay Singh w.e.f. 30-11-2002 is legal and justified?

If not, what relief is the concerned workman entitled to?"

2. On receipt of the reference a case was registered under No. IT/21/2004 and registered

A/D notices were issued to the parties. In pursuance of the said notices, the parties put in their appearance. The Workman/Party I (for short, "Workman") filed his statement of claim at Exhibit 3. Facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the workman are that the Employer/Party II (for short, "Employer") is a sister concern of Western India Shipyard Limited and provides manpower to Western India Shipyard Limited in its regular and permanent job operations such as Fire and Safety, Security, day-to-day production work of the ship repairs and ship buildings activities at the Shipyard of Western India Shipyard Ltd., at Mormugao Harbour. He stated that the employer is a sister concern created by Western India Shipyard Limited to avail certain tax exemption and other benefits from the various Government and other authorities. He stated that the Directors of the employer and Western India Shipyard Ltd., are same. He stated that he was employed in the services of the employer w.e.f. 14-03-1996 as fitter and continued to work with the employer & on 01-09-1998 his salary was revised effective. He stated that he was placed in semi-skilled grade. He stated that he was continuously performing his duties as the employer is carrying out the work of ship repairs and ship building throughout the year. He stated that right from the inception of this company, the employer has not implemented any labour welfare legislation and that they were never given any benefits of leave, provident fund, ESI, etc. as such many complaints have been filed against the employer before statutory authorities. He stated that on 30-11-2002 when he reported for his duty, he was not allowed to sign the attendance card, on the contrary, the Director of the employer, Mr. R. S. Singh called him in his cabin and informed that he should not report for work any further and that his services stands terminated with immediate effect. He further stated that when he asked the reason of his oral termination of services, he was told by the said Director to forsake the union membership of Goa Trade & Commercial Workers' Union, but he refused to accept these demand. He stated that he alongwith his co-workers had organized under the Goa Trade & Commercial Workers' Union (for sake of brevity "said Union"). He stated that the said Union had raised charter of demands asking the employer for enhancement of revision of the existing salaries of the workman employed with the employer. He stated that from the movement of raising the said charter of demands, the employer was selectively harassing and pressurizing the workmen to forsake the Union membership of the said Union. He stated that

thereafter on many occasions, he requested the Director of his employer not to terminate his services, however, the said Director remained adamant on his stand. He stated that after several efforts, he raised an Industrial Dispute before the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Central Government, Vasco-da-Gama with a demand of immediate reinstatement and full back wages. He stated that the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Central, intervened in the dispute by issuing notices to both the parties. He stated that the employer for the first time took a defence in their reply dated 19-11-2003 filed before the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Central, that the workman is absenting from his duties since 30-11-2002 and that he has failed to resume for his duty nor did he sent any intimation, which is an afterthought defence to justify the arbitrary and high-handed act of the employer of orally terminating the services of the Workman. He stated that he denied the aforesaid contention raised by the employer. He stated that he is ready and willing to report back for work with immediate effect unconditionally without prejudice to his right and defence in the present case. He stated that the refusal of employment to him w.e.f. 15-06-2001 by the employer is illegal, unjustified and bad in law and hence is entitled for immediate reinstatement with full back wages and continuity in services. He stated that after refusal of his employment, the employer have recruited new workers in his place and hence the refusal of his employment is illegal, unjustified and bad in law and in contravention of Section 25F of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. He submitted that prior to his illegal refusal of employment, the employer ought to have afforded to him a proper opportunity of being heard and investigating into the alleged act of misconduct which cast stigma on him, but the employer failed to do so. He submitted that the employer has failed to conduct an enquiry of whatsoever nature. He stated that by refusing an employment to him, the employer had flouted the mandatory provisions led down under the statute. He submitted that his family were solely dependent on his income and that both has to starve for their daily bread and butter. He therefore, prayed that the action of the employer in refusing his employment w.e.f. 30-11-2002 be declared as illegal, unjustified and bad in law and he may be reinstated back in services with full back wages and continuity of services alongwith all consequential benefits.

3. The employer filed its written statement on 10-01-2005 at Exhibit 5. The employer resisted the statement of claim file by the workman preliminarily on the ground that the Goa

Government is the appropriate Government and not the Central Government. The employer further submitted that it is in the business of skilled, unskilled, technicians, manpower supply etc. to its clients including Western India Shipyard Ltd., depending upon the work exigencies and requirements. The employer admitted that the workman is one of such employee engaged by them in the employment as fitter and his services were deployed at Western India Shipyard Ltd., like other workers as per demand of the said client. The employer stated that the workman has remained unauthorized absent from 30-11-2002 without its permission or information or to the supervisor of its client M/s. Western India Shipyard Ltd., The employer stated that the work and business of its client M/s. Western India Shipyard Ltd. shown the displeasure about the absence of the workman and that it suffered irreparable loss because of unauthorized absence of workman. The Employer stated that since the work of its client was suffered and affected, they vide its letters dated 07-12-2002, 17-12-2002 and 03-01-2003 asked the workman to report for work as his absence has hampered the work schedule of the client, otherwise necessary action will be taken. The employer stated that the workman however did not report for work nor sent any communication. The employer stated that they therefore sent another letter dated 03-01-2003 stating amongst other things that he has violated clause 7 of the appointment letter and has also abandoned his employment and further gave him a last opportunity to join his duty on or before 10-01-2003. The employer stated that it was also stated to the workman that in case he did not report for work, it will presume that he is not interested in employment and it will be deemed as abandoned of his employment. The employer stated that in spite of the said letter the workman has not taken any steps to resume for work nor to inform to the employer and therefore it comes to the bonafide conclusion that he was not interested in the employment and accordingly asked him by letter dated 18-01-2003 to collect his dues from its office. The employer stated that its client was not prepared to wait for such a long period to fill up the requirements and accordingly M/s. Western India Shipyard Ltd., had made its alternate arrangement by engaging the services of some other fitter and presently there is no vacancy to the post of fitter to be filled in. The employer stated that the workman had tried to mislead and misguide them by producing a certificate purported to be the medical certificate issued by one Dr. Ramesh Prasad (R.M.P. Ayurved) from Baga,

Bhojpur, wherein it is contended that he was suffering from fever from 28-11-2002 to 24-01-2003. The employer stated that though it was apprehending some vacancies of fitters to be created, however it is observed that there is no vacancy of fitter in any establishment of its client and the services of workman are not required at present. The employer stated that the Central Government has no authority to entertain or refer the dispute of its as in the case of the Company, State Government is the appropriate authority. The employer specifically denied the case of the workman as pleaded by him in his statement of claim and prayed for the dismissal of the present reference issued by the Central Government.

3. Thereafter the workman filed his rejoinder at Exhibit 6 thereby denying the case of the employer and reiterates his case as pleaded in the statement of claim.

4. Thereafter on the basis of the pleadings filed by the respective parties, this court framed the following issues at Exhibit 7.

1. Whether the Party I proves that the Party II refused employment to him from 30-11-2002 in violation of the provisions of Sec. 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947?
2. Whether the Party I proves that the action of the Party II in refusing employment to him from 30-11-2002 is illegal and unjustified?
3. Whether the Party II proves that in respect of the Party II, the appropriate Government is the Central Government and hence the reference made by the State Government is not maintainable?
4. Whether the Party II proves that the Party I voluntarily abandoned the services from 30-11-2002?
5. Whether the Party I is entitled to any relief?
6. What award?

5. The case was thereafter posted for the evidence of the workman. Though the several opportunities were given to the workman to lead his evidence in support of his statement of claim, neither could he file an affidavit in evidence nor remained present. On the contrary, the Ld. Adv., Shri Suhas Naik appearing for the workman filed an application on 14-09-2009 at Exhibit 18 stating that the workman has repeatedly failed to

remain present in the Union Office, inspite of several communications send to him. He stated that the last notice was issued to him by Registrar A/D, informing him about the date of hearing. He stated that by the said notice it was made clear that if he fails to attend the hearing, he will be constrained to withdraw his appearance on account of his failure to attend the hearing. He further stated that in the absence of the workman, he is unable to proceed with the matter and the said notice was returned back with a postal remark "Addressee Left". He therefore prayed that he be allowed to withdraw his appearance from the matter. After hearing the Ld. Adv., Shri Suhas Naik for the workman the said application dated 14-09-2009 was allowed and further the evidence of the workman was closed on account of his failure to lead evidence inspite of the fact that several opportunities were given to him & an opportunity was given to the employer to lead evidence. However, the Ld. Adv., M. S. Bhandodkar representing the employer submitted that since there is no evidence of the workman or his witnesses in the matter, no purpose will be served in leading the evidence of the management.

It is well settled law that if the party challenging the illegality of an order, the burden of proof lies upon him to prove the illegality of the said order and if no evidence is produced, the party invoking jurisdiction of the court must fail. In this case, the Central Government had referred a dispute to the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa at the instance of the aggrieved workman i.e. the Party No. I. Therefore, the burden lies on the workman to set up grounds challenging the validity of termination order and prove that his termination order is illegal. The workman has also filed his claim statement at Exhibit 3. The employer filed its written statement at Exhibit 5 controverting the claim of the workman. The workman, however, could not produce any cogent evidence either oral or documentary in support of his claim. With the result that there is no material before this court for recording a finding that the order of termination passed by the Party II/ Employer is illegal or unjustified. In the absence of evidence this court has no jurisdiction to hold that the order of termination is illegal. With this I proceed to adjudicate the reference by passing the following Order:

ORDER

1. It is hereby adjudicated that the action of the Management of M/s. Mormugao Marine Logistics Pvt. Ltd., Goa in refusing

employment to Shri Vijay Singh w.e.f. 30-11-2002 is legal and justified.

2. It is hereby adjudicated that the Party I/Workman is not entitled to any relief.
3. No order as to costs.
4. The Award be submitted to the Government of Goa as per the provisions contained under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Sd/-
(Suresh N. Narulkar),
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court-II.

Notification

No. 28/1/2009-LAB/1087

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, at Panaji-Goa on 03-08-2009 in reference No. IT/3/03 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 13th October, 2009.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT AT PANAJI

(Before Smt. Anuja Prabhudessai,
Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/3/03

Shri Jayant Kubal,
Rep. by Kadamba Kamgar
Union,
T-1, Sindur Bldg.,
Opp. Passport Office,
Panaji-Goa.

..... Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s. Kadamba Transport
Corporation Ltd.,
Bus Terminus,
Panaji-Goa.

..... Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I — none present.

Employer/Party II is represented by Adv., A. Palekar.

AWARD

(Passed on this 3rd day of August, 2009)

1. By order dated 27-1-03, the Government of Goa, in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, has referred the following dispute for adjudication of this Tribunal.

“(1) Whether the action of M/s. Kadamba Transport Corporation Ltd., Panaji, Goa in stopping three annual increments of Shri Jayant Kubal, Conductor, with cumulative effect from the year, 1998, 1999 and 2000, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?”

2. On receipt of the reference IT/3/03 was registered. Notices were issued to both parties. The Party I has filed his claim statement at Exb. 3. The Party II has filed its written statement at Exb. 5. Rejoinder of the Party I is at Exb. 6.

3. The Party I was employed with the Party II as a conductor. On 21-2-97, he was on duty on bus number GA 01 X-0118, plying on Bangalore-Panaji-Margao route. The line checking staff checked the bus at Margao at 7.30 hrs. The Party I was issued default notice for several irregularities. The Party I was served with a charge sheet for committing misconduct under clause 28(XV), (XXXV), and (LXI) of the Certified Standing Orders of the Corporation. The Party I denied the charges. Domestic enquiry was held and on receipt of the findings, the Party II imposed penalty of stopping three annual increments of the Party I, with cumulative effect from the year 1998, 1999 and 2000.

4. The Party I has claimed that the domestic enquiry was conducted in violation of principles of natural justice. The Party I has stated that he was not given reasonable opportunity to controvert the charges. The Party I has stated that there is no evidence to prove the charges and that the findings are not based on evidence on record. The Party I has stated that the order is illegal as the same was passed by an appellate authority. The Party I has also claimed that the order is unjust.

5. The Party II has denied that the charges levelled against the Party I are false and fabricated. The Party II has stated that on 21-2-97 the line checking staff checked the bus No. GA 01 X-0118 which was plying on Bangalore-Margao-Panaji and that the Party I was issued default notice for the following irregularities.

a) Found used tickets of total value of Rs. 1,940/- in conductors personal bag. Out of which 21 tickets of Rs. 105/- value were found unpunched.

b) Found that he had not posted the number of sale of tickets on way bill at various closing points from Bangalore to Panaji.

c) After verification of CWA, passenger list and conductors way bill, it is found that he has overwritten the entries of passenger list and way bill after collection of bus fares and luggage fares in order to misappropriate the revenue of the Corporation.

Further, on verification of past records i.e. from 23-1-97 to 22-2-97, it is found that he is habitually overwriting on documents.

d) As per the way bill No. 704399 on 19-2-97, one passenger has travelled from Panaji to Bangalore as way collection and he was issued tickets of Rs. 171.00, but to misappropriate the amount of Rs. 100/- conductor has overwritten on Window Booking Sheet by changing the destination place from Bangalore as Haveri on seat No. 5 and the fare as Rs. 71.00 in place of Rs. 171.00.

6. The Party II has stated that the charge sheet was issued to the Party I and that an enquiry was conducted by observing principles of natural justice. The Inquiry Officer has submitted the findings where in he has held the Party I guilty of the charges levelled. The Party II has stated that the past conduct of the Party I was not satisfactory. The details of which are given in para 3 of the written statement. The Party II has stated that the penalty imposed is neither illegal nor disproportionate to the charges levelled.

7. Based on the aforesaid pleadings, following issues were framed.

1. Whether the Party I proves that the enquiry held against him is not fair and proper?
2. Whether the charges of misconduct levelled against the Party I are proved to the satisfaction of the Tribunal by acceptable evidence?
3. Whether the Party I proves that the action of the Party II in stopping his three annual increments were cumulative effect from the year 1998, 1999 and 2000 is illegal and unjustified?

4. Whether the Party I is entitled to any relief?

5. What Award?

8. Issues No. 1 and 2 were treated as preliminary issues. The Party I did not adduce evidence on these issues. Hence, the evidence of the Party I was closed and the matter was posted for evidence on merits. The Party II has placed on record the enquiry proceedings at Exb. E-1 colly. No arguments are advanced on behalf of the Party I. Learned advocate, Shri Palekar has argued on behalf of the Party II. I have perused the records and considered the arguments advanced by Learned advocate, Shri Palekar and my findings on the aforesaid issues are as under:-

Issue No. 1: The Party I had challenged the fairness of the enquiry on several grounds. However, the Party I has not adduced any evidence in support of the grounds raised by him. Be that as it may, the enquiry proceedings at Exb. E-1 colly indicates that the Party I was served with a charge sheet wherein the charges were specifically spelt out. Notice of the enquiry was duly served on the Party I and he was informed that he could seek assistance of a co-worker or its office bearer of the union. The Party I had participated in the enquiry and had submitted that he did not want assistance and that he would defend himself in person. The records indicate that the charges were explained to the Party I. The copies of the documents relied upon by the Party II were furnished to the Party I. The statement of management witness was recorded in presence of the Party I and the witness was duly cross examined by the Party II. The Party I had also adduced his evidence and he was duly cross examined by the management representative. The records at Exb. E-1 colly indicate that the Party I was given sufficient and reasonable opportunity to defend himself and the enquiry was held in consonance with the principles of natural justice. Hence issue No. 1 is answered in the affirmative.

9. *Issue No. 2:* The Party I was issued charge sheet dated 19-3-97. The charge against the Party I is that on 21-2-97 the line checking staff had checked the bus No. GA-01 X-0118, on which he was on duty as a conductor and that he was issued default notice for the following irregularities.

1. Found used luggage tickets of total value of Rs. 1,940/- in conductors personal bag. Out of which 21 tickets of Rs. 105/- value were found unpunched.

2. Found that he had not posted the number of sale of tickets on way bill at various closing points from Bangalore to Panaji.

3. After verification of CWA, passenger list and conductors way bill, it is found that he had overwritten the entries on passenger list and way bill after collection of bus fares and luggage fares in order to misappropriate the revenue of the Corporation. Further on verification of past records i.e. from 23-1-97 to 22-2-97 it is found that he is habitually overwriting on documents thereby misappropriated the total revenue of Rs. 1000/-.

4. As per way bill No. 704399, on 19-2-97, one passenger has travelled from Panaji to Bangalore as way collection and he was issued tickets of Rs. 171/- but to misappropriate the amount of Rs. 100/- conductor has overwritten on window booking sheet by changing the destination place from Bangalore to Haveri on seat No. 5 and the fare as Rs. 71/- in place of Rs. 171/-. The above acts of conductor amounts to gross misconducts.

8. The Party I was informed that the aforesaid acts constitute misconduct under clause 28 (XV), (XXXV) and (LXI) of the Certified Standing Orders. In support of these charges, the Party II had examined the Traffic Inspector, Shri Kiran Faldessai. He has deposed that on 21-2-97 he and TC, Vinayak Naik were on line checking duty at Margao bus stand. They checked bus No. GA-01 X-0118 plying on Bangalore-Margao-Panaji route. He has deposed that Party I was a conductor on the said bus. They found used tickets of total of Rs. 1,940/- in the conductors personal bag out of which 21 tickets of value of Rs. 105/- were not punched. The conductor had not posted the entries on the way bill at the various closing point from Bangalore-Panaji, with an intention of misappropriation. They also noticed that the Party I had made overwriting at several instances and changed the ticket numbers while closing and handing over the report. The conductor had overwritten on passenger list and charged the alighting place and amount collected from the passengers. The Party II had produced the default notice (Exb. M-4), report of default (Exb. M-6), Panchanama (Exb. M-8), details of used tickets (Exb. M-9), unpunched ticket (Exb. M-10), way bill abstracts (Exb. M-12-21), window booking sheet (Exb. M-22-M-51), luggage tickets (Exb. 52). These documentary evidence viz-a-viz evidence of MW-1 indicate that the Party I had committed irregularities stated in the default. The Party I had

examined himself before the Inquiry Officer wherein he had admitted that the tickets mentioned were from his tray. He admits that some ticket were unpunched. He has admitted that the way bill was not closed. He has stated that he did not close the way bill as he was not feeling well. The Party I has not examined the driver or any other witness to prove the said contention. The Party I has stated in the cross that there was overwriting in the trip sheets because the bus was vibrating while he was writing. This statement cannot be believed as the vibration can at the most affect the handwriting and cannot cause overwriting. When the Party I was shown the panchanama, he stated that his signature was taken on a blank paper, but no such defence was raised in the reply to the charge sheet. When the Party I was questioned in the cross examination about the number of passenger that had entered the bus at Margao, he evaded the answer by stating that he did not want to have a discussion on the issue. Considering all these aspects, the defence of the Party I cannot be accepted. The evidence on record sufficiently prove the charges levelled against the Party I. Hence the issue No. 2 is answered in the affirmative.

9. *Issue No. 3:* The charges levelled and proved against the Party I are serious in nature, despite which the Party II had only issued penalty of withholding three increments. This penalty cannot be considered disproportionate or unjust. The Party I has also not shown that the order is illegal for any other reason/ground. Hence the issue No. 3 is answered in the negative.

10. *Issue No. 4:* The Party I has failed to prove that the order dated 27-1-2003 is illegal and unjust and hence he is not entitled for any relief.

Under the circumstances and in view of discussion supra, I pass the following order.

ORDER

The action of M/s. Kadamba Transport Corporation Ltd., Panaji, Goa, in stopping three annual increments of Shri Jayant Kubal, Conductor, with cumulative effect from the year 1998, 1999 and 2000, is held to be legal and justified. The Party I is not entitled for any relief.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-

(Anuja Prabhudessai),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-
-Labour Court-I.

Department of Personnel

Order

No. 7/6/99-PER (P F.)

Shri Diwan Chand, IAS (AGMU: 95), Secretary (Social Welfare), shall function as Secretary (Civil Supplies & Price Control) during the leave period of Shri V. P. Rao, IAS (AGMU: 99) Secretary (Civil Supplies & Price Control), in addition to his own duties with immediate effect and until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 21st October, 2009.

Order

No. 6/1/2005-PER/Part

Shri Vivek Belokar, Assistant Director of Technical Education, Porvorim, shall hold charge of the post of Managing Director, Goa Education Development Corporation, in addition to his own duties, with immediate effect, for a period of six months, thereby relieving Shri Bhaskar Nayak, Director of Higher Education, of the additional charge.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 23rd October, 2009.

Order

No. 6/11/2009-PER

Shri Y. B. Tavde, Additional Collector-II, South, Margao shall also hold charge of the post of Special Land Acquisition Officer, Konkan Railway Corporation Ltd., in addition to his own duties, thereby relieving Shri P. K. Velip Kankar, General Manager (DIC), Directorate of Industries, Trade & Commerce, of the additional charge, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 26th October, 2009.

Order

No. 15/8/2003-PER

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide its letter No. COM/II/12/42(1)/2003 dated 29-09-2009, the Governor of Goa is pleased to declare the following Officers to have satisfactorily completed their probation period and confirm them in the grade of Block Development Officers with immediate effect.

1. Shri Vishant S. Naik Gaunekar.
2. Shri Arvind B. Khutkar.
3. Shri Shashank V. Thakur.
4. Shri Shivprasad S. Naik.
5. Smt. Anuja Paresh Fal Dessai alias Anuja A. Naik.
6. Shri Pipi T. Murgaonkar.
7. Shri Sagun R. Velip.
8. Kum. Mohini K. Halarnkar.
9. Shri Soma G. Shetkar.
10. Shri Manuel P. Barreto.
11. Shri Tushar T. Halarnakar.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 28th October, 2009.

◆◆◆

Department of Public Health

Order

No. 7/6/90-I/PHD

Read: Memorandum No. 7/6/90-I/PHD dated 06-10-2009.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/24(3)/90-09/Vol.I/269 dated 02-09-2009, the Government is pleased to appoint Dr. Dipti Ashish Srivastava to the post of Junior Ophthalmic Surgeon (Group 'A' Gazetted) in the Pay Band—3 and Pay Scale Rs. 15,600-39,100+5,400 under the Directorate of Health Services with the immediate effect as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum referred above and post her at Ophthalmic Cell at Directorate of Health Services.

Dr. Dipti Ashish Srivastava shall be on probation for a period of two years.

The appointment of Dr. Dipti Ashish Srivastava is made subject to the verification of character and

antecedents. She is declared medically fit by the Medical Board.

In the event of any adverse matter noticed by the Government on verification of character and antecedents, her services will be terminated.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Maria J. R. Pires, Under Secretary (Health-II).
Porvorim, 27th October, 2009.

Order

No. 22/5/2003-I/PHD

Read: Memorandum No. 22/5/2003-I/PHD dated 22-10-2009.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/24(6)/90-09/Vol.I/285 dated 16-09-2009, the Government is pleased to appoint Dr. Nelly Marwen Pereira De Sa to the post of Senior Paediatrician (Group 'A' Gazetted) in the Pay Band—3 and Pay Scale Rs. 15,600-39,100+6,600 under the Directorate of Health Services with immediate effect as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above and post her at Asilo Hospital, Mapusa.

Dr. Nelly Marwen Pereira De Sa shall be on probation for a period of two years.

Her character and antecedents have been verified by the District Magistrate, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa and she has also been declared medically fit by the Medical Board at the time of appointment as Medical Officer/Junior Paediatrician on regular basis in Directorate of Health Services.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Maria J. R. Pires, Under Secretary (Health-II).
Porvorim, 29th October, 2009.

Certificate

No. 45/1/2009-I/PHD

Read: 1) Memorandum No. 45/1/2009-I/PHD dated 10-08-2009.

2) Government Order No. 45/1/2009-I/PHD(A) dated 02-09-2009.

3) Government Order No. 45/1/2009-I/PHD dated 02-09-2009.

Certified that the character and antecedents of following Medical Officer under Directorate of Health Services mentioned in the above Orders have been verified by the District Magistrate, North Goa District, Panaji and nothing adverse has come to the notice of the Government. They have also been declared medically fit by the Medical Board.

1. Dr. Siddhi Sachin Narvekar.
2. Dr. Kunal Chari.
3. Dr. Shradha Walke.
4. Dr. Nishank Halarnkar.
5. Dr. Sampurna Bhomkar.

Maria J. R. Pires, Under Secretary (Health-II).
Porvorim, 27th October, 2009.

Certificate

No. 7/8/88-I/PHD

Read: 1) Memorandum No. 7/8/88-I/PHD dated 11-08-2009.

2) Government Order No. 7/8/88-I/PHD dated 14-08-2009.

3) Certificate No. 7/8/88-I/PHD dated 28-08-2009.

Certified that the character and antecedents of Dr. Shaheen Saiyed, Senior Psychiatrist, Asilo Hospital, Mapusa under Directorate of Health Services mentioned in the above Orders have been verified by the District Magistrate, North Goa District, Panaji and nothing adverse has come to the notice of the Government. She has also been declared medically fit by the Medical Board.

Maria J. R. Pires, Under Secretary (Health II).
Porvorim, 27th October, 2009.

Certificate

No. 45/1/2009-I/PHD

Read: 1) Memorandum No. 45/1/2009-I/PHD dated 10-08-2009.

2) Government Order No. 45/1/2009-I/PHD(A) dated 08-09-2009.

Certified that the character and antecedents of Dr. Vibhuti Premnath Naik, Medical Officer under Directorate of Health Services mentioned in the above Orders have been verified by the District Magistrate, North Goa District, Panaji and nothing adverse has come to the notice of the Government. She has also been declared medically fit by the Medical Board.

Maria J. R. Pires, Under Secretary (Health-II).
Porvorim, 28th October, 2009.

Certificate

No. 45/4/2009-I/PHD

Read: 1) Memorandum No. 45/4/2009-I/PHD dated 11-08-2009.

2) Government Order No. 45/4/2009-I/PHD dated 18-08-2009.

Certified that the character and antecedents of Dr. Shema Ajit Shirodkar, Junior ENT Surgeon under Directorate of Health Services mentioned in the above Orders have been verified by the District Magistrate, North Goa District, Panaji and nothing adverse has come to the notice of the Government. She has also been declared medically fit by the Medical Board.

Maria J. R. Pires, Under Secretary (Health-II).
Porvorim, 28th October, 2009.

◆◆◆
Department of Revenue

—
Order

No. 17/88/2009-RD(5200/L)

In exercise of the powers conferred by para 1 of Article 652 of the Code of Comunidades, Government is pleased to appoint the following persons on the Committee in order to adopt measures for the meeting referred to in the said Article:-

1. Shri Santosh Bhang, Treasurer of Comunidade of Panchawadi, Ponda-Goa.
2. Shri Raghunath Narayan Gawas Gaonkar, President of Amona Comunidade, Bicholim-Goa.
3. Shri Savio D'Souza, Treasurer of Nerul Comunidade, Bardez-Goa.
4. Shri Celso Dias, President of Camorlim Comunidade, Salcete-Goa.
5. Shri Caetan Mascarenhas, President of Chicalim Comunidade, Mormugao-Goa.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Redkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).
Porvorim, 26th October, 2009.

Notification

No. 23/32/2009-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is needed for public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for const. of Pilgao-Narve Distributory of Sanquelim Branch Canal of L.B.M.C. of T.I.P. from ch. 0.00 km. to 4.850 km in Sarvona Bicholim & Pilgao Village of Bicholim Taluka.

And whereas in the opinion of the Government the provisions of sub-section (1) of Section 17 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") are applicable.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the said Act, that the said land is needed for the purpose specified above.

The Government further directs under sub-section (4) of Section 17 of the said Act that the provisions of Section 5-A of the said Act shall not apply in respect of the said land.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation, Karaswada, Colvale Road, Bardez-Goa to perform the functions of a Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
2. The Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), G.T.I.D.C., Karaswada, Colvale Road, Bardez-Goa.
3. The Executive Engineer, W.D VI, G.T.I.D.C., Sarvona, Bicholim-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation, Karaswada, Colvale Road, Bardez-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Bicholim *Village:* Sarvona

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
121 Part	O: 1. Krishna Shivram Shenvi Karapurkar. 2. Mahadeo Shivram Shenvi Karapurkar.	2340
122 1 Part	O: 1. Gurudatt Dattaram Kamat. 2. Anila Dattaram Kamat alias Dimple Dattaram Kamat.	270

Boundaries :

North : S. No. 121.

South : S. No. 122/1.

West : Village Bicholim.

East : S. No. 121.

Total: 2610

Taluka: Bicholim*Village:* Bicholim

65 4 Part	O: 1. Prabhakar Kamat. 2. Datta Kamat. 3. Suman Atchut Kamat. 4. Vithal Atchut Kamat. 5. Sulochana Atchut Kamat. 6. Latabai Atchut Kamat. 7. Nirmala Atchut Kamat.	1890
-----------	--	------

OFFICIAL GAZETTE — GOVT. OF GOA

SERIES II No. 32

5TH NOVEMBER, 2009

1	2	3	1	2	3
	8. Mohana Atchut Kamat.		27 Part O:	1. Comunidade Pilgao.	17025
	9. Gurudas Atchut Kamat.		26 Part O:	1. Apolino Rocha Pinto.	4485
	10. Avinash Atchut Kamat.		18 1 Part O:	1. Bhaskar Laxman Sinai	6590
64	2 Part O: 1. Narshiv Kamat.	3350		Pilgaokar.	
	2. Gurudatt Dattaram Kamat.			2. Narayan Balkrishna Sinai	
	3. Anila Dattaram Kamat alias			Pilgaokar.	
71	2 Part O: 1. Subhashchandra Vinayak	3175		3. Umakant Narayan Shenvi	
	Shenvi Borkar.			Pilgaokar.	
	1 Part O: 1. Narendra Bhalchandra	1800		4. Narayan Umakant Shenvi	
	Shetye.			Pilgaokar.	
70	1 Part O: 1. Ramesh Narayan Suryarao	1950	24 1 Part O:	1. Mahadev Yeso Parab	4080
	Sardesai.			Gaonkar.	
	2. Vithal Suryarao Sardesai.			2. Boaventura Pereira.	
73	1 Part O: 1. Babu Bhaskar Lawanis	2870	19 2 Part O:	1. Shone Michael.	510
	2 Part O: 1. Vishnu Mahadev Gawde.	2260		1 Part O: 1. Appa Jaidev Parob	6990
	2. Rama Mahadev Gawde.			Gaonkar.	
	3. Gajanan Babuli Gawde.		23 1 Part O:	1. Comunidade Pilgao.	14085
	4. Tulshi Narayan Ghadi			2 Part O: 1. Comunidade Pilgao.	710
	alias Abolem Narayan		21 1 Part O:	1. Venkatesh Ramkrishna	17655
	Gawde.			Garde.	
	5. Bromha Narayan Gawde			2. Anant Ramkrishna Garde.	
	alias Bromha Narayan			3. Atchut Ramkrishna Garde.	
	Mayekar.			4. Government of Goa P.W.D.	
	6. Ramchandra Narsingh		20 1 Part O:	1. Venkatesh Ramkrishna	660
	Bhartu.			Garde.	
74	14 Part O: 1. Comunidade Bicholi.	6620		2. Anant Ramkrishna Garde.	
	13 Part O: 1. Chudgo Vasu Gawde.	675		3. Atchut Ramkrishna Garde.	
	2. Sakharam Vishnu Gawde.		14 1 Part O:	1. Atmaram Yeshwant Shet	2640
69	1 Part O: 1. Raghunath Babal	1840		Govekar.	
	Dicholkar.			2. Government of Goa P.W.D.	
	2. Esulo Babal Dicholkar.		13 1 Part O:	1. Comunidade Pilgao.	235
	3. Apa Rama Madval.			2. Government of Goa P.W.D.	
	<i>Boundaries :</i>			2 Part O: 1. Gajanan Mahadev Fulari.	15580
	North: Village Sarvona S. No. 70/1,			12 Part O: 1. Sri Devi Chamunda	50
	73/1, 74/13, 14, Road.			Devsthan.	
	South: S. No. 70/1, 74/13, 73/2,		11 Part O:	1. Sri Chamunda	12560
	74/14, Road.			Devsthan.	
	West : S. No. 65/4, 64/2, Nalla, 71/2,			2. Government of Goa P.W.D.	
	1, 73/1, 2.		9 Part O:	1. Maria Ana Da Rosa.	6820
	East : Village Sarvona, S. No. 65/4,			2. Appa Jaidev Parab	
	64/2, Nalla, 71/2, 73/1, 2,			Gaonkar.	
	Pilgao Village.			<i>Boundaries :</i>	
	Total: 26430			North : Village Bicholim S. No. 24/1,	
				19/1, 26/-, 23/1, 13/2, 11/-.	
Taluka: Bicholim	Village: Pilgao			South : S. No. 26/-, 18/1, 19/1, 2, 23/-,	
29 2 Part O: 1. Comunidade Pilgao.	1160			13/2, 11/-, 235/1.	
1 Part O: 1. Soma Madval	400				

1	2	3
West	: Road, S. No. 27, 26/-, 23/1, 2, 21/1, 14/1, 13/1, 2, 11/-, 9/-.	
East	: S. No. 29/1, 2, 27/-, Road, 26/-, 23/1, 14/1, 13/1, 2, 5, 10, 12, 11/-, 9/-.	
		Total: 112255
		Grand Total: 141295

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Redkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 16th October, 2009.



Department of Town & Country Planning

Order

No. CTP/Misc/TCP/09/pt/3811

On superannuation of Shri E. R. Godinho, Senior Town Planner (HQ) on 31st October, 2009, Shri S. T. Puttaraju, Senior Town Planner (South)/Incharge R. P. Division will hold the additional charge of Senior Town Planner (HQ), Town & Country Planning Department, Panaji.

Shri S. T. Puttaraju, Senior Town Planner shall draw his salary and allowances from South Goa District Office where he is originally posted.

This is issued with the approval of the Government vide Note No. CTP/Misc/TCP-09/Pt/3795, dated 23-10-2009.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Morad Ahmad, Chief Town Planner & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 26th October, 2009.



Department of Transport

Notification

No. D.Tpt/EST/1493/2009(PIV)/2610

The Government is pleased to extend the time limit for submission of the report of the Committee constituted vide Notification No. D.Tpt/EST/1493/

/2009 (PIV)/2065 dated 18-08-2009, for a further period of two months with effect from 18-10-2009.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Narendra Kumar, Comm. & Secretary (Transport).

Panaji, 29th October, 2009.



Office of the Director of Mopa Airport

Notification

No. SAP/1/98-VOL.VI/MOPA

In supersession of Notification No. SAP/1/98-Vol.III/CE(PWD) 820/2005/1236 dated 11-7-2005, published in Extraordinary Official Gazette No. 7 dated 12-07-2005, Government is pleased to reconstitute the Steering Committee for the new International Airport at Mopa as follows:-

- 1) Shri Digambar Kamat, ... Chairman.
Hon'ble Chief Minister
- 2) Shri Manohar Azgaonkar, ... Vice-Chairman.
Hon'ble Minister for Panchayats and Hon'ble MLA (Dhargal)
- 3) Shri Dayanand Sopte, ... Member.
Hon'ble MLA (Pernem)
- 4) Chief Secretary, Government of Goa ... Member.
- 5) Joint Secretary (Airport), ... Member.
Ministry of Civil Aviation, Government of India or authorized representative
- 6) Secretary (Finance), ... Member.
Government of Goa
- 7) Member (Planning), ... Member.
Airport Authority of India or his authorized representative
- 8) Chief Town Planner, ... Member.
Government of Goa
- 9) President, Confederation ... Member.
of Industries of India, Goa
- 10) President, Goa Chamber of ... Member.
Commerce and Industries

11)	Director of Mopa Airport, Government of Goa	... Member Secretary.	No. of Letters	No. of Lines	Cost of publication for the first time (Rate in Rs.)
Special Invitee: Shri Pratapsing Raoji Rane, Hon'ble Speaker, Goa Legislative Assembly.			828	18	864.00
By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.			874	19	912.00
			920	20	960.00
<i>R. Mihir Vardhan</i> , Director of Mopa Airport.			968	21	1008.00
Panaji, 30th October, 2009.			1012	22	1056.00
			1058	23	1104.00
			1104	24	1152.00
			1150	25	1200.00
Department of Printing & Stationery			1190	26	1248.00
Government Printing Press			1240	27	1296.00
—			1280	28	1344.00
Notification			1334	29	1392.00
No. 5/14/2000-GPS/Part			1380	30	1440.00
Government is pleased to revise the rates for publication of matter in the Official Gazette with immediate effect.			1426	31	1488.00
			1472	32	1536.00
			1518	33	1584.00
			1564	34	1632.00
			1600	35	1680.00
			1656	36	1728.00
			1702	37	1776.00
			1748	38	1824.00
			1794	39	1872.00
			1840	40	1920.00
			1886	41	1968.00
			1932	42	2016.00
			1978	43	2064.00
			2024	44	2112.00
			2070	45	2160.00
			2116	46	2208.00
			2160	47	2256.00
			2206	48	2304.00
			2254	49	2352.00
			2300	50	2400.00
By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.					
<i>N. D. Agrawal</i> , Director & ex officio Jt. Secretary (Printing and Stationery).					
Panaji, 4th November, 2009.					

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

N. D. Agrawal, Director & ex officio Jt. Secretary
(Printing and Stationery).

Panaji, 4th November, 2009.

www.goagovt.nic.in/gazette.htm

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,
Government Printing Press,
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE—Rs. 15.00